arXiv:1408.0649v1 [math.CO] 4 Aug 2014

Weak total resolving sets in graphs

I. Javaid[1]*, M. Salman[1], M. Murtaza[1], F. Iftikhar[1] and M. Imran[2]

[1] Center for Advanced Studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, 60800, Pakistan
Email: {ijavaidbzu, solo33, mahru830, farheen689}@gmail.com
[2] School of Natural Sciences, National University of Science and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan, Email: imrandhab@gmail.com

Abstract

A set W of vertices of G is said to be a weak total resolving set for G if W is a resolving set for G as well as for each $w \in W$, there is at least one element in $W - \{w\}$ that resolves w and v for every $v \in V(G) - W$. Weak total metric dimension of G is the smallest order of a weak total resolving set for G. This paper includes the investigation of weak total metric dimension of trees. Also, weak total resolving number of a graph as well as randomly weak total k-dimensional graphs are defined and studied in this paper. Moreover, some characterizations and realizations regarding weak total resolving number and weak total metric dimension are given.

Keywords: Metric dimension; weak total metric dimension; weak total resolving number; randomly weak total k-dimensional graph; twins
AMS Subject Classification Numbers: 05C12

1 Introduction

Unless otherwise specified, all the graphs G considered in this paper are simple, non-trivial and connected with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. Two adjacent vertices u, v in G will be denoted by $u \sim v$, and non-adjacent vertices u, v will be denoted by $u \not\sim v$. The subgraph induced by a set S of vertices of G is denoted by $\langle S \rangle$. Two isomorphic graphs G and H are denoted by $G \cong H$. The *neighborhood* of a vertex v of G is the set $N(v) = \{u \in V(G) \mid u \sim v\}$. The number of elements in $N(v)$ is the *degree* of v, denoted by $d(v)$. The maximum degree of G is denoted by $\Delta(G)$. If two distinct vertices u and v of G have the property that $N(u) - \{v\} = N(v) - \{u\}$, then u and v are called *twin vertices* (or simply twins) in G. If for a vertex u of G, there exists a vertex $v \neq u$ in G such that u, v are twins in G, then u is said to be a *twin* in G. If $\langle N(v) \rangle \cong K_{d(v)}$ for $v \in V(G)$, then v is called a *complete vertex* in G. The number $d(u, v)$ denotes the *distance* between two

*Corresponding author email: ijavaidbzu@gmail.com

vertices u and v of G, which is the number of edges in a shortest $u-v$ path in G. The maximum distance between two vertices in G is called the *diameter* of G, denoted by $diam(G)$. Two vertices u, v of G are said to be *antipodal* if $d(u,v) = diam(G)$ otherwise, u and v are called *non-antipodal*. A vertex of degree one is called a *leaf* in G. A vertex of degree at least three in G is called a *major vertex*. An end vertex u is a *terminal vertex* of a major vertex v such that $d(u,v) < d(u,w)$ for each other major vertex w. The number of terminal vertices for a major vertex v is its terminal degree $td(v)$. If $td(v) > 0$ for a major vertex v, then v is called an *exterior major vertex*. The sum $\sum td(v)$ taken over all the major vertices v of G is denoted by $\sigma(G)$, and $ex(G)$ denotes the number of exterior major vertices of G. The symbol $X \bigtriangledown Y$ denotes the *symmetric difference* of two sets X and Y.

A vertex x of G *resolves* (or *distinguishes*) two distinct vertices y, z of G if $d(y,x) \neq d(z,x)$. The k-tuple $c_U(v) = (d(v,u_1), d(v,u_2), \ldots, d(v,u_k))$ is the *code of* v with respect to a set $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\} \subseteq V(G)$. A subset W of $V(G)$ is called a *resolving set* for a graph G if for every two distinct vertices u and v of G, there is an element w in W that resolves u and v. Equivalently, the set W is a resolving set if for every two vertices u and v of G, we have $c_W(u) \neq c_W(v)$. The minimum cardinality of a resolving set for G is called the *metric dimension* of G, denoted by $\dim(G)$. A resolving set of cardinality $\dim(G)$ is called a *metric basis* of G. This concept was firstly studied by Slater in 1975 in [14] with the names *locating set* and *location number* rather than resolving set and metric dimension. The terminologies, we used above for this concept and will be used throughout this paper, was proposed by Harary and Melter when they independently studied this concept in 1976 [8]. This concept has wide range of applications not just in graph theory but to many other fields. For instance, Khuller *et al.* studied its application in robot navigation [12]; Melter and Tomescu studied its application in pattern recognition and image processing [13], to name a few. First time, in 1979, Gary and Johnson noted that to determine the metric dimension of a graph is an NP-hard problem [7], however, its explicit construction was given by Khuller *et al.* in 1996 [11].

Elements of a metric basis were referred to as sensors in many applications. If one of the sensors, say s, placed at a location stops working due to any impenetrable difficulty, then we will not receive enough information regarding the detection of those two locations where no sensor is placed and they are only be detected by the sensor s. This kind of problem was solved by defining fault-tolerant resolving set, which was defined by Hernando *et al.* in 2008 [9] in the following way: a resolving set W for a graph G is fault-tolerant if $W \setminus \{v\}$ is also resolving set for each v in W. But, a problem still to be addressed is: *let the two locations, say L_1, L_2, on which L_1 is where the sensor s placed and L_2 is where no sensor placed, and let they are only be detected by the sensor s. Then which of the other placed sensors provides the complete information regarding the detection of the locations L_1 and L_2 if the sensor s stops working?* The answer of this kind of problems leads to introduce the concept of total resolving set. This concept was introduced by Javaid *et al.* in 2012 [10] in the following way: a resolving set for a graph G is called a total resolving set, written as TR-set, if for every pair of distinct vertices u, v in G, there is a vertex w in W such that $d(u,w) \neq d(v,w)$ for $u, v \neq w$ (it was named strong total resolving set in [10], but in analogy with total dominations in graphs in [6], we use the term total resolving sets). But complete graphs or graphs with twin vertices do not have any total resolving sets. On relaxing a condition in total resolving set, a new parameter, named as weak total resolving set, defined

by Javaid *et al.* in [10] as: a resolving set W for a graph G is called a *weak total resolving set*, simply written as WTR-set, if for every pair of distinct vertices u, v of G with $u \in V(G) - W$ and $v \in W$, there is a vertex $w \in W - \{v\}$ such that $d(u, w) \neq d(v, w)$. Here, in this paper, we extend the study of this concept and define and study some new parameters in the context of weak total resolvability. One thing important to note here is that one might think that the two concepts: the concept of fault-tolerant resolving set and the concept of weak total resolving set, are equivalent. But, a comparison between these two concepts, given in [10], shows that they are not equivalent.

The cardinality of a minimum WTR-set is called the *weak total metric dimension* (WTMD) of G, denoted by $\dim_{wt}(G)$. A WTR-set of cardinality $\dim_{wt}(G)$ is called a *weak total metric basis* (WTMB) of G. The *weak total resolving number* of a graph G, denoted by $res_{wt}(G)$, is the minimum positive integer r such that every r-set of vertices of G is a WTR-set for G. Chartrand and Zhang in [4] considered graphs G with $\dim(G) = res(G)$. They called these graphs randomly k-dimensional graphs, where $k = \dim(G)$. We say that a graph G is *randomly weak total k-dimensional* if $\dim_{wt}(G) = res_{wt}(G) = k$. The aim of this paper is to study the three parameters $\dim_{wt}(G)$, $res_{wt}(G)$ and randomly weak total k-dimensional graph. We investigate $\dim_{wt}(G)$ and $res_{wt}(G)$ when G is a tree. Also, we reveal some properties of graphs G having $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$ and characterize all the graphs G with $\dim_{wt}(G) = |G|$. Moreover, we classify the graphs G with $res_{wt}(G) = 3$ and $res_{wt}(G) = |G|$.

2 Weak total metric dimension

The following useful result for finding the weak total metric dimension of graphs was proposed in [10].

Lemma 1. [10] *A resolving set W for a graph G is a* WTR*-set if and only if the code, with respect to W, of each $x \in V(G) - W$ differ by at least two coordinates from the code, with respect to W, of each $w \in W$.*

Let u, v be two twins in a graph G and let W be a WTR-set for G such that $u \in W$ and $v \notin W$. Since $d(u, w) = d(v, w)$ for all $w \in V(G) - \{u, v\}$, so the codes of u and v, with respect to W, differ by one coordinate only. Thus, Lemma 1 concludes the following remark:

Remark 2. *Every WTR-set for a graph G contains all the twins of G.*

Since every WTR-set for a graph G is a resolving set as well, so the following is trivial relationship between $\dim(G)$ and $\dim_{wt}(G)$

$$\dim(G) \leq \dim_{wt}(G). \tag{1}$$

To see the equality holds in (1), construct a graph as follows: Take two copies of K_3 with vertex sets $\{u, v, w\}$ and $\{x, y, z\}$. Take a path $P_{a\geq 2}$ with its two leaves called l_1, l_2. By an edge attach one leaf l with u and one leaf l' with x. Identify the leaf l_1 with the vertex v and the leaf l_2 with the vertex y. Call the resultant graph G. Since G is not a path and no single vertex forms a WTR-set for G, so $\dim(G) \geq 2$ and $\dim_{wt}(G) \geq 2$. Further, the set $\{l, l'\}$ is a resolving set as well as WTR-set for G and, as a consequence, $\dim(G) = \dim_{wt}(G) = 2$. To see the inequality

holds in (1), let the graph $G = K_r + (K_1 \cup K_s)$ of order $n = r + s + 1$ for $r, s \geq 2$ ($G + H$ is the join (sum) of the graph G and H). It was investigated in [5] that $\dim(G) = n - 2$. We claim that $\dim_{wt}(G) = n - 1$ or n. But, $\dim_{wt}(G) \neq n$ because the set $V(G) - V(K_1)$ is a WTR-set for G. Since each element of the sets $V(K_r)$ and $V(K_s)$ is twin in G, Remark 2 concludes that $\dim_{wt}(G) \geq r + s = n - 1$, and, as a consequence, $\dim(G) < \dim_{wt}(G) = n - 1$.

Due to the relationship (1), we have the following assertions:

Proposition 3. *If m is the weak total metric dimension of a graph G and $D = diam(G)$, then the following assertions hold:*
(1) The maximum order of G is $D^m + m$.
(2) the maximum degree of G is at most $3^m - 1$.
(3) G is at most 2^m-colorable.

Proof. Let k denotes the metric dimension of G. Then D, k and m all are positive integers.

Khuller *et al.* proved in [11] that $|G| \leq D^k + k$. Thus, the inequality (1) implies part (1) of the theorem.

Chartand *et al.* proved in [2] that $k \geq \log_3(\Delta(G) + 1)$ (*i.e*, $\Delta(G) \leq 3^k - 1$). Therefore, the inequality (1) follows the part (2) of the theorem.

Let $\chi(G)$ denotes the minimum number of colors needed to color the graph G properly (*i.e*, chromatic number of G). It was shown by Chappell *et al.* in [1] that $\chi(G) \leq 2^k$ and, as a result, G is at most 2^m-colorable, by the inequality (1). □

3 Graphs G with $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$ and $\dim_{wt}(G) = n$

The following result proved by Khuller *et al.* in [11] is useful.

Proposition 4. [11] *Let G be a graph and u, v, w be three vertices of G such that $u \sim v$. If $d(v, w) = d$, then $d(u, w) \in \{d - 1, d, d + 1\}$.*

Now, we explore some properties of graphs with $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$ in the next few results.

Proposition 5. *Let G be a graph of order at least three. If $\{u, v\}$ be a WTMB of G, then $u \not\sim v$.*

Proof. If $u \sim v$, then the codes (with respect to the set $\{u, v\}$) of u and the other neighbor of v differ by one coordinate only, and hence $\{u, v\}$ is not a WTR-set for G, by Lemma 1. □

Corollary 6. *If $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$ and $\{u, v\}$ be a WTMB of G, then $u \sim v$ if and only if G is isomorphic to K_2.*

Proposition 7. *Let G be a graph of order at least four. If $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$ and $\{u, v\}$ be a WTMB of G, then u and v are not twins in G. In fact, G has no twin.*

Proof. Suppose that u and v are twins in G. If $u \sim w$ and $v \sim w$ for all $w \in V(G) - \{u, v\}$, then $\{u, v\}$ is not a resolving set for G. If $\{u, v\}$ is a resolving set for G, then the code (with respect to the set $\{u, v\}$) of a neighbor of a common neighbor of u and v differ by one coordinate only from the codes of u and v, a contradiction by Lemma 1. □

Corollary 8. *If* $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$*, then* G *has twins if and only if* G *is isomorphic to* P_2 *or* P_3*.*

Theorem 9. *If* $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$ *and* $\{u, v\}$ *be a WTMB of* G*, then degree of* u *and* v *is at most two.*

Proof. Let $d(u, v) = p$, then $p \geq 2$ by Proposition 5. Proposition 4 yields that the second (first) coordinate of the code, with respect to the set $\{u, v\}$, of a neighbor of u (or v) is one of $\{p-1, p, p+1\}$. But, $(1, p)$ (or $(p, 1)$) cannot be the code of any neighbor of u (or v), by Lemma 1. Since $\{u, v\}$ is a resolving set so both u and v can have at most two neighbors. □

Theorem 10. *If* $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$ *and* $\{u, v\}$ *be a WTMB of* G*, then the followings are true:*
(1) The geodesic P *between* u *and* v *is unique.*
(2) Each neighbor of u *and* v *has degree at most three.*
(3) Every vertex on P*, other than* u, v *and their neighbors, has degree at most five.*
(4) The maximum degree of G *is at most eight.*
(5) For any $w \in \{u, v\}$ *and for each* $z \in N(w)$*,* $r \not\sim w$ *for all* $r \in N(z)$*.*

Proof. (1) Suppose there are two geodesics P and Q between u and v. Then, clearly, there are two vertices x, y on P, Q, respectively, such that u is equidistant from x and y and, as a result, $c_{\{u,v\}}(x) = c_{\{u,v\}}(y)$, a contradiction to the fact that $\{u, v\}$ is a resolving set for G.

(2) Let x be a neighbor of u and $d(x, v) = t$, then $d(u, v) \in \{t-1, t, t+1\}$ by Proposition 4. But, $d(u, v) \neq t$, for otherwise, u and v do not form WTR-set for G, by Lemma 1. Thus $d(u, v) = t - 1$ or $t + 1$. Assume, without any distress, that $d(u, v) = t - 1$. Then out of three possibilities for the second coordinate of the codes of other neighbors of x, only two possibilities are left. It follows that x can have at most two more neighbors (as its one neighbor is u) since $\{u, v\}$ is a WTR-set for G.

(3) Let y be a vertex on the unique geodesic P between u and v such that $y \notin \{u, v\} \cup N(u) \cup N(v)$. Let (a, b) be the code of y, then $d(u, v) = a + b$. The possible codes for the neighbors of y are: $(a-1, b-1), (a-1, b), (a-1, b+1), (a, b-1), (a, b), (a, b+1), (a+1, b-1), (a+1, b), (a+1, b+1)$. Out of these nine pairs, the pairs $(a-1, b-1), (a-1, b), (a, b), (a, b-1)$ cannot be the code of any neighbor of y. Otherwise, either the geodesic between u and v is not unique or $\{u, v\}$ is not a resolving set for G. It follows that $d(y) \leq 5$.

(4) Since $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$, so the result follows by Proposition 3.

(5) By part (2), let $N(z) = \{u, i, j\}$ for any $z \in N(u)$. Since the graphs considered in this paper are simple, we claim that neither $i \sim u$ nor $j \sim u$. Let $d(z, v) = q$, then the possible second coordinate of the code of u is one of $\{q-1, q+1\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $q - 1$ is the second coordinate of the code of u, q is the second coordinate of the code of i and $q + 1$ is the second coordinate of the code of j. Now, if $i \sim u$, then $c_{\{u,v\}}(z) = c_{\{u,v\}}(i)$, and if $j \sim u$, then $d(j, v)$ would be q rather than $q + 1$ and hence $c_{\{u,v\}}(z) = c_{\{u,v\}}(j)$. □

Theorem 11. *If* $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$*, then* G *cannot have a complete vertex of degree more than three.*

Proof. Assume that G has a complete vertex of degree more than three. The G has a complete graph of order ≥ 5 as a subgraph. But, since a WTR-set for G of cardinality two is also a resolving set for G and Khuller *et al.* in [11] proved that any graph having a resolving set of cardinality two cannot have K_5 as a subgraph. It completes the proof. □

Since a graph having a resolving set of order two cannot have K_5 as a subgraph [11], so we have the following straightforward consequences:

Corollary 12. *If* $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$*, then*
(1) G *is at most 4-colorable,*
(2) the clique number of G *is at most 4.*

In the following result, we classify the graphs having weak total metric dimension equals to the order of the graphs.

Theorem 13. *A graph* G *of order* $n \geq 2$ *has* $\dim_{wt}(G) = n$ *if and only if each vertex of* G *is twin.*

Proof. For $n = 2$, the result is trivial so we consider $n \geq 3$. Assume that each vertex of G is twin. Let u be any arbitrary vertex of G. Since u is twin, there is a vertex $v \neq u$ in G such that $d(u, w) = d(v, w)$ for all $w \in V(G) - \{u, v\}$. It follows that $V(G) - \{u\}$ is not a WTR-set for G. Hence, $\dim_{wt}(G) = n$.

Now, assume that $\dim_{wt}(G) = n$. If we suppose that a vertex x is not twin in G, then the code of every element of the set $V(G) - \{x\}$ with respect to this set differ by at least two coordinates from the code of x with respect to $V(G) - \{x\}$. It follows that the set $V(G) - \{x\}$ is a WTR-set for G, a contradiction. □

4 Weak total resolving number and randomly weak total k-dimensional graphs

Now, we proceed to characterize the graphs where the bounds $3 \leq res_{wt}(G) \leq n$ are achieved. First of all, we obtain the lower bound.

Proposition 14. *For any graph* G *of order* $n \geq 3$*,* $res_{wt}(G) \geq 3$*.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in V(G)$ be two vertices such that $x \sim y$ and let $z \in V(G) - \{x, y\}$ be a vertex such that $z \sim y$. Since $d(x, y) = 1 = d(y, z)$, the set $\{x, y\}$ is not a WTR-set for G and, as a consequence, $res_{wt}(G) \geq 3$. □

Theorem 15. *Let* G *be a graph of order* $n \geq 3$*. Then* $res_{wt}(G) = n$ *if and only if* G *contains a twin.*

Proof. If $G \cong K_n$ or $G \cong P_3$, then $res_{wt}(G) = n$ and we are done. So we assume that $n \geq 4$ and $G \ncong K_n$.

Let u be a twin in G, then there exists a vertex $v \neq u$ such that u and v are twin vertices of G. For any $k \in \{3, \ldots, n-1\}$, there exists a k-set of vertices W such that $u \in W$ and $v \notin W$, and then for any $w \in W - \{u\}$, $d(w, u) = d(w, v)$. Hence, $res_{wt}(G) = n$.

Now, we assume that G has no twin and let $v \in V(G)$. In this case for any $u \in V(G) - \{v\}$, there exists $w \in N(u) \bigtriangledown N(v) - \{u, v\}$. Since $d(w, u) \neq d(w, v)$, we have that $V(G) - \{v\}$ is a WTR-set and, as a consequence $res_{wt}(G) \leq n - 1$. □

From Theorem 13, we deduce the following consequence:

Corollary 16. *A graph G of order n is a randomly weak total n-dimensional graph if and only if each vertex of G is twin.*

Also, from Theorem 15 and Corollary 16, we conclude that a graph G of order n is a randomly weak total $(n-1)$-dimensional graph if and only if $\dim_{wt}(G) = n-1$ and G has no twin.

In order to give a characterization of the graphs with $res_{wt}(G) = 3$, we present the following lemma:

Lemma 17. *If $res_{wt}(G) = k$, then every two vertices of G have at most $k-2$ common neighbors.*

Proof. We proceed by contradiction. Let $res_{wt}(G) = k$ and suppose that there exist $u, v \in V(G)$ such that $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq k-1$. Let W be a set composed by u and $k-1$ elements of $N(u) \cap N(v)$. Then for any $w \in W - \{u\}$, we have that $d(w,u) = d(w,v)$, which is a contradiction because $|W| = k$ and $res_{wt}(G) = k$. □

Theorem 18. *Let G be a graph. Then $res_{wt}(G) = 3$ if and only if G is a cycle graph of odd order or G is a path of order greater than or equal to three.*

Proof. If G is an odd cycle or a path of order $n \geq 3$, then any pair of vertices is a resolving set. Hence, any set composed by three vertices of G is a WTR-set and, by Proposition 14, we conclude that $res_{wt}(G) = 3$.

On the other hand, if $G \cong C_n$, where n is even, then for any pair of antipodal vertices x, y and the neighbors of x, say a and b, we have that $d(a,x) = d(b,x) = 1$ and $d(a,y) = d(b,y) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$, and then $\{x, y, a\}$ is not a WTR-set for G. Thus, $res_{wt}(G) \neq 3$.

It remains to show that if $res_{wt}(G) = 3$, then $\Delta(G) \leq 2$. Suppose that there exist four different vertices $a, b, c, d \in V(G)$ such that $b, c, d \in N(a)$. In such a case, we differentiate the following cases for the subgraph induced by the set $\{b, c, d\}$:

Case 1: $\langle\{b, c, d\}\rangle \cong N_3$ (an empty graph). Since $d(b,c) = d(b,d) = 2$ and $d(a,c) = d(a,d) = 1$, we conclude that $\{a, b, c\}$ is not a WTR-set for G.

Case 2: $\langle\{b, c, d\}\rangle \cong K_2 \cup K_1$. We assume, without loss of generality, that $c \sim d$. In this case, $d(b,c) = d(b,d) = 2$ and $d(a,c) = d(a,d) = 1$ and so we conclude that $\{a, b, c\}$ is not a WTR-set for G.

Case 3: $\langle\{b, c, d\}\rangle \cong P_3$. Now, we assume, without loss of generality, that $c \not\sim d$. In this case, $|N(a) \cap N(b)| \geq 2$ and, by Lemma 17, we conclude that $res_{wt}(G) \neq 3$.

Case 4: $\langle\{b, c, d\}\rangle \cong K_3$. As above, $|N(a) \cap N(b)| \geq 2$ and, by Lemma 17, we conclude that $res_{wt}(G) \neq 3$.

According to the above cases, we deduce that if $res_{wt}(G) = 3$, then $\Delta(G) \leq 2$. Therefore, the result follows. □

It is easy to check that the two extremes of any path graph form a WTR-set. Also, it was shown in [10] that for any cycle graph $\dim_{wt}(C_n) = 3$. Therefore, Theorem 18 leads to the following corollary:

Corollary 19. *A graph is randomly weak total 3-dimensional if and only if it is a cycle graph of odd order.*

The maximum degree of a graph according to its weak total resolving number is investigated in the next result.

Theorem 20. *If $res_{wt}(G) = k$, then maximum degree of G is at most $2^{k-1} + k - 1$.*

Proof. Let u be a vertex of G with $d(u) = \Delta(G)$ and let a set $U = \{u, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{k-1}\}$ of order k, where $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{k-1} \in N(u)$. Since $res_{wt}(G) = k$, so U is a WTR-set for G. Indeed for $x \in N(u) - U$, $d(x, u) = 1$ and $d(x, u_i) = 1$ or 2 $(1 \leq i \leq k-1)$. It follows that the maximum number of distinct codes with respect to U for the elements of $N(u) - U$ is 2^{k-1}. Thus $|N(u) - U| \leq 2^{k-1}$ and, as a consequence, $\Delta(G) = d(u) \leq 2^{k-1} + k - 1$. □

The next result gives the realization of weak total metric dimension and weak total resolving number of some connected graphs.

Theorem 21. *For every two natural numbers a, b with $3 \leq a \leq b$, there exists a graph G such that $\dim_{wt}(G) = a$ and $res_{wt}(G) = b$.*

Proof. For $a = b$. Let G be a graph of order b in which each vertex is twin. Then $\dim_{wt}(G) = a = b = res_{wt}(G)$.

For $a = 3$ and $b \geq 4$. Consider the complete graph K_3 with vertex set $\{s, t, u\}$ and the path P_{b-a+1} with one leaf called l. Make the graph G of order b by identifying the leaf l of P_{b-a+1} with the vertex u of K_3. Note that, G has two twins s, t and $s \sim t$. Since only two adjacent vertices do not form WTR-set for G, by Propositions 5, so $\dim_{wt}(G) \geq 3$. Clearly, the vertex set of K_3 is a WTR-set for G and, as a result, $\dim_{wt}(G) = a$. Moreover $res_{wt}(G) = b$, by Theorem 15.

For $4 \leq a = b - 1$. Take a vertex v and attach a leaves $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_a$ by an edge with v. The resultant graph G is a star graph and has order $a + 1$. Since all the leaves are twins and the collection of all these twins forms a WTR-set for G, so Remark 2 and Theorem 15 yield that $\dim_{wt}(G) = a$ and $res_{wt}(G) = a + 1$.

For $4 \leq a \leq b - 2$. Consider the graph $K_4 - e$ (obtained by deleting one edge e from K_4) with vertex set $\{w, x, y, z\}$ and $e = y \sim z$. Attach $a - 2$ leaves $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_{a-2}$ by an edge with the vertex w of $K_4 - e$. Also, identify a leaf l of the path P_{b-a-1} with the vertex x of $K_4 - e$ ($P_1 \cong K_l$ with unique vertex l). Call the resultant graph G. Clearly, order of G is b. Since y, z and all the leaves $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_{a-2}$ are twins in G and the set $\{y, z, l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_{a-2}\}$ is a WTR-set for G, it follows that $\dim_{wt}(G) = a$ and $res_{wt}(G) = b$, by Remark 2 and Theorem 15. □

5 Weak total metric dimension and weak total resolving number of a non-path tree

Let G be a non-path tree (we call a tree which is not a path, a non-path tree) and $\{v_k : 1 \leq k \leq ex(G)\}$ be the set of exterior major vertices of G. If two or more paths start from an exterior major vertex v_k and end at different terminal vertices of v_k, then they are called the *branches* rooted at v_k. Let $P_i^k : u_{i,1}^k = v_k, u_{i,2}^k, ..., u_{i,l_i^k}^k$ $(1 \leq i \leq t_k)$ be the $t_k \geq 2$ branches of v_k where

l_i^k is the number of vertices in branch P_i^k and indices i, j, k in $u_{i,j}^k$ represent that vertex is at j^{th} position in i^{th} branch P_i^k of k^{th} exterior major vertex v_k. In our later discussion, absence of index k in P_i^k, $u_{i,j}^k$ and t_k means that only one exterior major vertex v is under consideration. For our convenience, we label branches P_i^k in ascending order of i so that for any two branches P_r^k, P_s^k, $r < s$ if $l_r^k \leq l_s^k$. If $l_i^k = l^k$ $(1 \leq i \leq t_k)$ and $t_k \geq 2$, then branches P_i^k are called *similar branches* of v_k each of length $l^k - 1$. If $l_i^k = 2$ $(1 \leq i \leq t_k)$ and $t_k \geq 2$ for an exterior major vertex v_k, then similar branches of v_k are called *twin leaves*. Let P_i^k and P_s^k be two similar branches of an exterior major vertex v_k, then vertex $u_{i,j}^k$ in P_i^k is at same position as $u_{s,j}^k$ in P_s^k. We name another branch which plays an important role in finding WTMB of a non-path tree. A branch P_1^k of an exterior major vertex v_k is called *the unique branch of shortest length* if $l_1^k < l_i^k$ for all $(2 \leq i \leq t_k)$. In fact, if an exterior major vertex has twin leaves, then it does not have the unique branch of shortest length.

Remark 22. *Let G be a non-path tree and v be an exterior major vertex of G with $t \geq 2$ branches P_i $(1 \leq i \leq t)$. If a set $W \subseteq V(G)$ is a resolving set for G, then W contains at least one vertex other than v from at least $t-1$ branches of v. Let $W \cap \{V(P_{t-1}) \cup V(P_t)\} = \emptyset$, then the code of $u_{t-1,1}$ and $u_{t,1}$ with respect to W become same as these two vertices are at the same distance from vertices of W, a contradiction that W is a resolving set.*

Proposition 23. *Let v be an exterior major vertex of a graph G and let P_r, P_s be two branches of v with l_r ,l_s number of vertices respectively and $l_r < l_s$. If W is a WTR-set of G and W contains a vertex from P_r other than v, then W must contains at least one vertex from P_s other than v.*

Proof. Suppose $u_{r,j} \in W \cap V(P_r)$ for some fix j; $1 \leq j \leq l_r$ and $W \cap V(P_s) = \emptyset$. As $d(u_{s,j}, w) = d(u_{r,j}, w)$ for all $w \in W \setminus \{u_{r,j}\}$, therefore the code (with respect to W) of the vertex $u_{s,j} \in P_s$ lying at the jth position in P_s, differ by one coordinate only from the code (with respect to W) of $u_{r,j} \in W$ and, as a consequence, W is not a WTR-set for G, by Lemma 1. □

Theorem 24. *Let G be a non-path tree and W be a WTMB of G. Let v be an exterior major vertex of G with t branches $\{P_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ in which P_1 is the unique branch of shortest length with l_1 number of vertices. Then W must contains vertices $u_{i,j} \in P_i$ for each i $(2 \leq i \leq t)$ and exactly one j $(j > l_1)$.*

Proof. Since W is a resolving set for G so by Remark 22, W contains at least one vertex from at least $t-1$ branches of v. We start by choosing $W \cap V(P_1) = \emptyset$, i.e., W does not contain any vertex from P_1, then W contains at least one vertex from each P_i $(2 \leq i \leq t)$. If we take $u_{i,j} \in W \cap V(P_i)$ for some i $(2 \leq i \leq t)$ and some j where $j \leq l_1$, then $d(u_{1,j}, w) = d(u_{i,j}, w)$ for all $w \in W \setminus \{u_{i,j}\}$, so the code of $u_{1,j} \in P_1$ and the code of $u_{i,j} \in W$ with respect to W, differ by one coordinate only, which is a contradiction that W is a WTMB of G. Thus for $u_{i,j} \in W \cap V(P_i)$, j must be greater than l_1. Also W is a WTMB of G if $|W \cap V(P_i)|$ is minimum which is possible only when we take exactly one vertex from each P_i $(2 \leq i \leq t)$. Thus if $W \cap V(P_i) = \{u_{i,j} \in P_i$: for each i $(2 \leq i \leq t)$ and exactly one j; $j > l_1\}$, then $|W \cap V(P_i)| = t - 1$ which is minimum and the codes of vertices of P_1 differ by at least two coordinates from the codes of vertices of W with respect to W. Moreover if we choose $W \cap V(P_1) \neq \emptyset$, then by Proposition 23, $|W \cap \{V(P_i) : (1 \leq i \leq t)\}| = t$ as $l_1 < l_i$ for all $(2 \leq i \leq t)$. Thus $|W \cap V(P_i)|$ is not minimum, which is contradiction that W is a WTMB of G. □

Theorem 25. *Let G be a non-path tree and W be a WTMB of G. Let v be an exterior major vertex of G with t branches $\{P_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ and v does not have the unique branch of shortest length. Then W must contains vertices $u_{i,j} \in P_i$ for each i $(1 \leq i \leq t)$ and exactly one j $(2 \leq j \leq l_i)$.*

Proof. Since v does not have the unique branch of shortest length, so there exist s $(2 \leq s \leq t)$ similar branches $\{P_i : 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ of v, each has $l = l_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq s)$ number of vertices. Also $\{P_i : s < i \leq t\}$ are remaining $t - s$ branches of v. As W is a resolving set of G so by Remark 22, W contains at least one vertex from at least $t - 1$ branches of v. We start by choosing $W \cap V(P_1) = \emptyset$, i.e., W does not contain any vertex from P_1, then W contains at least one vertex from P_i $(2 \leq i \leq t)$. If we choose $u_{i,j} \in W \cap V(P_i)$ for some i $(2 \leq i \leq s)$ and some j $(1 < j \leq l)$, then $d(u_{1,j}, w) = d(u_{i,j}, w)$ for all $w \in W \setminus \{u_{i,j}\}$, thus the code of $u_{1,j} \in P_1$ and the code of $u_{i,j} \in W$ with respect to W differ by one coordinate only, which is contradiction that W is WTMB of G. Thus $W \cap V(P_i) \neq \emptyset$ for each i $(1 \leq i \leq s)$. Moreover $l < l_i$ for each i where $(s < i \leq t)$, so by Proposition 23, W must contain at least one vertex from remaining $t - s$ branches of v. Also W is a WTMB of G if $|W \cap \{V(P_i) : 1 \leq i \leq t\}|$ is minimum which is possible only when we take exactly one vertex from each P_i $(1 \leq i \leq t)$. □

Corollary 26. *Let G be a non-path tree and v be an exterior major vertex of G with t branches and v does not have the unique branch of shortest length, then* $\dim(G) \geq t - 1$ *and* $\dim_{wt}(G) \geq t$.

Corollary 27. *Let G be a non-path tree and $W \subseteq V(G)$ where $W = \{u_{i,j}^k \in P_i^k$ for each k $(1 \leq k \leq ex(G))$ and each i $(1 \leq i \leq t_k)$ and at least one j $(2 \leq j \leq l_i^k)\}$, then W is WTR-set for G.*

It was shown by Chartrand *et al.* in [5] that $\dim(G) = \sigma(G) - ex(G)$ for a non-path tree G. Let $\mu \geq 0$ denotes the number of exterior major vertices of G which do not have the unique branch of shortest length. The next result provide the weak total metric dimension of a tree.

Theorem 28. *Let G be a non-path tree, then* $\dim_{wt}(G) = \dim(G) + \mu$.

Proof. Since every WTR-set is a resolving set, so inequality 1, Proposition 23 and Corollary 26, yield $\dim_{wt}(G) \geq \dim(G) + \mu$. For $\dim_{wt}(G) \leq \dim(G) + \mu$, let $\{v_k : 1 \leq k \leq ex(G)\}$ be the set of exterior major vertices of G and each v_k has t_k number of branches P_i^k and each branch P_i^k has l_i^k number of vertices. We label v_k is ascending order of k such that $v_k : (1 \leq k \leq \mu)$ do not have the unique branch of shortest length and $v_k : (\mu < k \leq ex(G))$ have the unique branch of shortest length P_1^k. Let B be a metric basis of G and by Remark 22, B contains at least one vertex from at least $t_k - 1$ branches of v_k. We choose one vertex from branch P_i^k for each i $(1 \leq i \leq t_k - 1)$ and each k $(1 \leq k \leq \mu)$ other than v_k and one vertex from branch P_i^k for each i $(2 \leq i \leq t_k)$ and each k $(\mu < k \leq ex(G))$ other than v_k. By Theorem 24 and Theorem 25, $W = B \cup \{u_{t_k, l_{t_k}^k}^k : 1 \leq k \leq \mu\}$ is a WTMB of G. It concludes the proof. □

Theorem 29. *Let G be a non-path tree. Then* $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$ *if and only if every exterior major vertex of G has at most three branches in which one is the unique branch of shortest length and one of the followings hold:*
(1) G has exactly one exterior major vertex with three branches and no exterior major vertex with two branches.
(2) G has exactly two exterior major vertices with two branches and no exterior major vertex with three branches.

Proof. Given that G is a non-path tree. Let $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$, since only a path has metric dimension one [11], so inequality (1) implies that $\dim(G) = \dim_{wt}(G) = 2$ and hence $\mu = 0$. Thus all exterior major vertices of G has the unique branch of shortest length. Suppose G has an exterior major vertex with $td(v) \geq 4$, then by Theorem 24, $\dim_{wt}(G) \geq 3$. Thus every exterior major vertex of G has at most three branches. We discuss the following two cases:

Case 1: $td(v) \leq 2$ for all exterior major vertices v. If G has an exterior major vertex with terminal degree 2, then there are two such vertices v_1, v_2 each has two branches P_1^k, P_2^k $k = 1, 2$ with P_1^k as the unique branch of shortest length of v_k for each $k = 1, 2$ and by Theorem 24, $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$. Suppose G has another exterior major vertex u $(\neq v_1, v_2)$ with $td(u) = 2$, then by Theorem 24, $\dim_{wt}(G) \geq 3$.

Case 2: $td(v) \leq 3$ for all exterior major vertices v. If G has an exterior major vertex v with $td(v) = 3$, then v is the only vertex with $td(v) = 3$ and v has exactly three branches P_1, P_2, P_3 in which P_1 is the unique branch of shortest length, and hence by Theorem 24, $\dim_{wt}(G) = 2$. Suppose G has another vertex u with $td(u) = 3$ or $td(u) = 2$, then in both cases $\dim_{wt}(G) \geq 3$ by Theorem 24.

The converse part of the theorem is obvious. □

The following result provides the realization of weak total metric dimension in some graphs G of order n.

Theorem 30. *For every two integers a, b with $2 \leq a \leq b$, there exists a graph G of order b with $\dim_{wt}(G) = a$.*

Proof. For $a = b$, let G be a graph of order b in which each vertex is twin. Then Theorem 13, concludes that $\dim_{wt}(G) = b = a$. Now, consider $a \leq b - 1$. Consider a path P_{b-a+1} and let one leaf of this path be l. Attach $a - 1$ leaves $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_{a-1}$ with the leaf l. Call the resultant graph G of order b. For $a \geq 3$, G is a non-path tree with exactly one exterior major vertex l with $td(l) = a$ in which $a - 1$ are twin leaves. Then $\mu = 1$. Also $\dim(G) = a - 1$, by a result of Chartrand *et al.* given in [5]. Thus, Theorem 28 implies that $\dim_{wt}(G) = a$. For $a = 2$, G is a path P_b vertices and it is straightforward to see that the set of two leaves of P_b is a WTMB of G. □

We define a notion which is useful for finding an upper bound on weak total resolving number of a non-path tree.

$$\theta(G) = \min_{(1 \leq i \leq t_k), (1 \leq k \leq ex(G))} l_i^k$$

For instance, if a tree has twin leaves, then $\theta = 2$.

Proposition 31. *Let G be a non-path tree of order $n \geq 4$ and $W \subseteq V(G)$ with cardinality $n - \theta(G) + 2$, then W contains at least one vertex from branch P_i^k of an exterior major vertex v_k other than v_k, for each i $(1 \leq i \leq t_k)$ and each k $(1 \leq k \leq ex(G))$ where t_k, P_i^k as define earlier.*

Proof. Let G be a non-path tree in which $n - \theta(G) + 2$ is smallest. Also $n - \theta(G) + 2$ is smallest when n is smallest and $\theta(G)$ is largest. Such a tree contains only one exterior major vertex v_1 (if G has more than one exterior major vertices then n is not smallest) and v_1 have only three similar

branches P_1^1, P_2^1, P_3^1 (if G has more than three branches or branches are not similar, then n is not largest as compared to $\theta(G)$) and each branch has l^1 is number of vertices. Then $n = 3l^1 - 2$ and $\theta(G) = l^1$ and $n - \theta(G) + 2 = 2l^1$. Number of vertices (including v_1) in two any two branches say P_1^1, P_2^1 is $2l^1 - 1$. Thus any set $W \subseteq V(G)$ of $2l^1$ vertices contains at least one vertex from third branch P_3^1 other than v_1. □

Theorem 32. *Let G be a non-path tree of order $n \geq 4$, then $res_{wt}(G) \leq n - \theta(G) + 2$.*

Proof. Let $W \subseteq V(G)$ with cardinality $n - \theta(G) + 2$, then by Proposition 31, W contains at least one vertex from all branches of all exterior major vertices of G other than exterior major vertices and hence by Corollary 27, W is a WTR-set. It concludes the proof. □

By Proposition 23, Corollary 26 and Theorem 28, we have the following proposition for a lower bound on the weak total resolving number of a non-path tree.

Proposition 33. *Let G be a non-path tree of order n with p exterior major vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p$ each has t_k branches of length $l_i^k - 1$ $(1 \leq k \leq p; 1 \leq i \leq t_k)$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{t_k} (l_i^k - 1) \leq res_{wt}(G).$$

Consider two vertices x and y such that $x \sim y$. Take four paths $P_{r\geq 3} : x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r$; $P_r' : x_1', x_2', \ldots, x_r'$; $P_3 : y_1, y_2, y_3$ and $P_3' : y_1', y_2', y_3'$. Identify the vertex x with the leaves x_1, x_1' and identify the vertex y with the leaves y_1, y_1'. The resultant graph G is a non-path tree with two exterior major vertices $x = x_1 = x_1'$ and $y = y_1 = y_1'$ each has two similar branches. Clearly, $\mu = 2$ and so $\dim_{wt}(G) = 4$, by Theorem 28. Note that $p = 2$, $t_1 = 2, t_2 = 2$ and so $\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{t_k} (l_i^k - 1) = 2(r+1)$. We claim that $res_{wt}(G) = 2(r+1)$. It is a routine exercise to see that any set of cardinality $2(r+1)$ is a WTR-set for G. Indeed, if we say that $res_{wt}(G) < 2(r+1)$, then the set $(V(P_r) - \{x_1\}) \cup (V(P_r') - \{x_1'\}) \cup \{x, y\} \cup \{v\}$, where $v \in V(P_3) - \{y_1\}$ or $v \in V(P_3') - \{y_1'\}$, is not a WTR-set for G, by Corollary 26. It concludes that $res_{wt}(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{t_k} (l_i^k - 1)$.

From Proposition 33 and Theorem 32 we have following theorem.

Theorem 34. *Let G be non-path tree, then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{t_k} (l_i^k - 1) \leq res_{wt}(G) \leq n - \theta(G) + 2.$$

References

[1] Chappell, G., Gimbel, J., Hartman, C.: Bounds on the metric and partition dimensions of a graph. Ars Combin. 88, 349–366 (2008).

[2] Chartrand, G., Poisson, C., Zhang, P.: Resolvability and the upper dimension of graphs. Comp. Math. Appl. 39(12), 19-28 (2000).

[3] Chartrand, G., Salehi, E., Zhang, P.: The partition dimension of a graph. Aequationes Math. 59(1-2), 45-54 (2000).

[4] Chartrand, G., Zhang, P.: On the chromatic dimension of a graph. Congr. Numer. 145, 97–108 (2000).

[5] Chartrand, G. Eroh, L., Johnson, M.A., Oellermann, O.R.: Resolvability in graphs and the metric dimension of a graph. Disc. Appl. Math. 105(1-3), 99–113 (2000).

[6] Cockayne, E.J., Dawes, R.M., Hedetniemi, S.T.: Total domination in graphs. Networks. 10, 211-219 (1980).

[7] Gary, M.R., Johnson, D.S.: Computers and Intractibility: A guide to theory of NP-completeness. Freeman, New York (1979).

[8] Harary, F., Melter, R.A.: On the metric dimension of a graph. Ars Combin. 2, 191–195 (1976).

[9] Hernando, C., Mora, M., Slater, P.J., Wood, D.R.: Fault-Tolerant metric dimension of graphs. Proc. Internat. Conf. Convexity in Discrete Structures, Ramanujan Math. Society Lecture Notes. 5, 81–85 (2008).

[10] Javaid, I., Iftikhar, F., Salman, M.: Total resolvability in graphs. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research. 11, 1649–1658 (2012).

[11] Khuller, S., Raghavachari, B., Rosenfeld, A.: Landmarks in graphs. Disc. Appl. Math. 70, 217–229 (1996).

[12] Khuller, S., Raghavachari, B., Rosenfeld, A.: Localization in graphs. Technical Report CS-TR-3326, University of Maryland at College Park (1994).

[13] Melter, R.A., Tomescu, I.: Metric bases in digital geometry. Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing. 25, 113–121 (1984).

[14] Slater, P.J.: Leaves of trees. Congr. Numer. 14, 549–559 (1975).